arXiv:hep-th/0312026v4 23 Feb 2004

KUNS-1884
hep-th/0312026
December 2003

Supersymmetric large-N reduced model with multiple matter

Hikaru KAWAI[ab*], Tsunehide KUROKI[a†] AND Takeshi MORITA[a‡]

[a] *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

[b] *Theoretical Physics Laboratory, RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

Abstract

We showed in hep-th/0303210 that the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory can be regarded as large-N reduction in the case of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theories, with single adjoint matter. We generalize this to gauge theories with gauge groups being the products of some unitary groups coupled to bifundamental or fundamental matter. We show that some large-N reduced models of these theories are supermatrix models, whose free energy is equivalent to the prepotentials of the original gauge theories. The supermatrix model in our approach should be taken in the Veneziano limit $N_c, N_f \to \infty$ with N_f/N_c fixed.

---

[*] E-mail address : hkawai@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[†] E-mail address : kuroki@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[‡] E-mail address : takeshi@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In the theory of Dijkgraaf-Vafa [1] [2], $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory is related to a large-N bosonic matrix model . They have discovered that the prepotential of the gauge theory is the free energy of the matrix model.

We have shown that this result can be regarded as a large-N reduction [3] [4]. One can map the gauge theory on non-commutative space to a large-N reduced model (see the Appendix) and show that the holomorphic parts of the reduced model (supermatrix model) reproduce the prepotential of the gauge theory as the free energy.

However, we have only demonstrated the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory via the reduced model in the simplest system. There are many applications of the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory to other gauge groups and the inclusion of matter [5] [6] [7], and we want to understand such applications in terms of reduced models. Such understanding is not only important in respect of the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory itself, but also gives insight for constructing a general connection between field theory and matrix models. For example, we have not yet found a reduced model for $SO(N)$ Yang-Mills theory, while the matrix model for this gauge theory is given in the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory [5]. This will help us to construct its reduced model. Thus, understanding these theories is important in the context of large-N reduction.

We generalize in this paper the argument given in [4] to gauge theories which have gauge groups of the products of some unitary groups and are coupled to their adjoint, bifundamental and fundamental matter. The low-energy effective action of these theories is given by the prepotential, and this prepotential is equivalent to the free energy of the corresponding matrix models.

We first apply our approach to a gauge theory which has n gauge groups,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} U(N_i),$$

coupled to n adjoint, bifundamental and anti-bifundamental matter. We show that the reduced model of this theory reproduces the matrix model in the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory.

We next consider the A_2 quiver-like theory which has two gauge groups

$$U(n_c) \times U(n_f). \tag{1.1}$$

We regard the $U(n_f)$ gauge group as a global symmetry by setting vector superfield V_f for the $U(n_f)$ gauge group to 0. This system then becomes $U(n_c)$ gauge theory with n_f

fundamental and anti-fundamental matter [7]. This theory is the first example in which the large-N reduction reproduces the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory by taking $V_f = 0$.

We finally consider a general system which has several unitary gauge groups, flavor symmetries and matter in the adjoint, bifundamental and fundamental representations. We show that the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory of this system can be reproduced by its reduced model, as in the previous two cases.

In section 2 of this paper, we discuss the application of the gauge theory with bifundamental matter in our approach. In section 3, we consider a system with fundamental matter and a more general system. Section 4 contains conclusion and a discussion. The Appendix presents a brief review of non-commutative space and the construction of the supersymmetric reduced model of $U(n)$ gauge theory coupled to adjoint matter.

2 Supersymmetric reduced model with bifundamental matter

We demonstrate in this section the Dijkgraaf-Vafa conjecture of the gauge theory with bifundamental matter in terms of large-N reduction. As we mentioned in the introduction, the argument is relevant to the single adjoint matter case [4]. We first consider this theory on bosonic non-commutative space and construct a supersymmetric reduced model. We next introduce fermionic non-commutative space and map the supersymmetric reduced model to a supermatrix model. We anticipate that the holomorphic parts of this supermatrix model will reproduce those of the gauge theory when we set the non-commutativity $C^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ to 0. We indeed check that the equivalence between the free energy and prepotential holds in this theory.

2.1 Construction of the supersymmetric reduced model

We consider the gauge theory with multiple bifundamental matter. This theory comprises gauge groups

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} U(N_i), \tag{2.1}$$

and matter. We denote chiral matter in the adjoint representation of $U(N_i)$ as Φ_i, a vector superfield as V_i, and chiral matter in the bifundamental representation of $U(N_i)$ and

$U(\bar{N}_j)$ $(i > j)$ as $X_{i,j}$ and anti-bifundamental representation as $Y_{j,i}$, where index i of the bifundamental representation runs from 1 to n. Field strength $W_{i\alpha}$ for each $U(N_i)$ is defined as

$$W_{i\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}\bar{D}e^{-V_i}D_\alpha e^{V_i}. \tag{2.2}$$

With these fields, we consider the following action:

$$\begin{aligned} S =& \int d^4xd^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\Bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}(\bar{\Phi}_i e^{V_i}\Phi_i e^{-V_i}) \\ &+ \sum_{i>j} \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_j)}(\bar{X}_{i,j}e^{V_i}X_{i,j}e^{-V_j}) + \sum_{i>j} \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_j)}(\bar{Y}_{j,i}e^{V_j}Y_{j,i}e^{-V_i}) \Bigg\} \\ &+ \int d^4xd^2\theta\Bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\pi i\tau_i \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha} + \sum_{(i,a)} g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}O_{(i,a)}(\Phi,X,Y)\Bigg\} + c.c., \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where τ_i is the gauge coupling constant.

The superpotential,

$$\sum_{(i,a)} g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}O_{(i,a)}(\Phi,X,Y)$$

is a single-trace polynomial in which subscript i indicates a gauge group and subscript a indicates a form of the function $O_{(i,a)}$. We can explicitly express the polynomial as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i,a)} g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}O_{(i,a)}(\Phi,X,Y) =& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{k+1}a_{(i,k)}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}\Phi_i^{k+1} \\ &+ \sum_{i,j,k} b_{(i,j,k)}\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}Y_{i,j}\Phi_j^k X_{j,i} \\ &+ \sum_{i,j,p,q} c_{(i,j,p,q)}\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}Y_{i,j}\Phi_j^p X_{j,i}Y_{i,j}\Phi_j^q X_{j,i} + \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $a_{(i,k)}, b_{(i,j,k)}, c_{(i,j,p,q)}, \cdots$ are coupling constants. We impose the one condition on the superpotential that all the adjoint matter is massive, because massless adjoint matter gives singularity in low-energy theory and may break the holomorphy.

We consider this theory on bosonic non-commutative space (A.3) and construct a reduced model of the theory [8]. As in [4], a reduced model of this gauge theory is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S =&(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\, \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}(\bar{\hat{\Phi}}_i e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{\Phi}_i e^{-\hat{V}_i})\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\bar{\hat{X}}_{i,j}e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{X}_{i,j}e^{-\hat{V}_j})+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\bar{\hat{Y}}_{j,i}e^{\hat{V}_j}\hat{Y}_{j,i}e^{-\hat{V}_i})\right\}\\
&+(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta\, \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}2\pi i\tau_i\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\hat{W}_i^{\alpha}\hat{W}_{i\alpha}\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{(i,a)}g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}O_{(i,a)}\left(\hat{\Phi}(\theta),\hat{X}(\theta),\hat{Y}(\theta)\right)\right\}+c.c.. \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\Phi}_i(\theta)$, $\hat{X}_j(\theta)$, $\hat{Y}_k(\theta)$ and $\hat{V}_l(\theta,\bar{\theta})$ are infinite matrices whose components are a function of θ and/or $\bar{\theta}$, $\hat{N}_i$ is their infinite rank, and

$$\hat{W}_{i\alpha}(\theta)=-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}}e^{-\hat{V}_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}}e^{\hat{V}_i}. \qquad (2.6)$$

We now show that this reduced model reproduces Eq.(2.3) in bosonic non-commutative space. The equation of motion of Eq.(2.5) for $\hat{V}_i$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}}e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{W}_i^{\alpha}e^{-\hat{V}_i}=0, \qquad (2.7)$$

which has the special solution

$$\exp\hat{V}_i(\theta,\bar{\theta})=\exp\left(-2\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\hat{p}_{\mu}\right)\otimes 1_{N_i}, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\hat{p}_{\mu}$ is given by Eq.(A.7). We can expand $e^{\hat{V}_i}$ around this background as

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\hat{V}_i}&=e^{\hat{A}}e^{\hat{V}'_i}e^{\hat{A}},\\
\hat{A}&\equiv-\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\hat{p}_{\mu}\otimes 1_{N_i}, \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Action S then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S =&(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\, \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}(\bar{\hat{\Phi}}'_i e^{\hat{V}'_i}\hat{\Phi}'_i e^{-\hat{V}'_i})\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\bar{\hat{X}}'_{i,j}e^{\hat{V}'_i}\hat{X}'_{i,j}e^{-\hat{V}'_j})+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\bar{\hat{Y}}'_{j,i}e^{\hat{V}'_j}\hat{Y}'_{j,i}e^{-\hat{V}'_i})\right\}\\
&+(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta\, \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}2\pi i\tau_i\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\hat{W}'^{\alpha}_i\hat{W}'_{i\alpha}\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{(i,a)}g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}O_{(i,a)}\left(\hat{\Phi}'(\theta),\hat{X}'(\theta),\hat{Y}'(\theta)\right)\right\}+c.c.. \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}'_i(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= e^{\hat{A}}\hat{\Phi}_i(\theta)e^{-\hat{A}}, \\
\hat{X}'_{ij}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= e^{\hat{A}}\hat{X}_{ij}(\theta)e^{-\hat{A}}, \\
\hat{Y}'_{ij}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= e^{\hat{A}}\hat{Y}_{ij}(\theta)e^{-\hat{A}}, \\
\hat{\bar{\Phi}}'_i(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= e^{-\hat{A}}\hat{\bar{\Phi}}_i(\bar{\theta})e^{\hat{A}}, \\
\hat{W}'_{i\alpha}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= e^{\hat{A}}\hat{W}_{i\alpha}(\theta)e^{-\hat{A}} = -\frac{1}{4}\hat{\bar{D}}\hat{\bar{D}}e^{-\hat{V}'_i}\hat{D}_\alpha e^{\hat{V}'_i}, \\
\hat{D}_\alpha &= e^{-\hat{A}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha}e^{\hat{A}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - \left(\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}\right)\hat{p}_\mu, \\
\hat{\bar{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= e^{\hat{A}}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}}\right)e^{-\hat{A}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + \left(\theta\sigma^\mu\right)\hat{p}_\mu. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now map these matrices to superfields through Weyl ordering (Eq.(A.11)) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}'_i(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto \Phi_i(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) \\
\hat{X}'_{ij}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto X_{ij}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) \\
\hat{Y}'_{ij}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto Y_{ij}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) \\
\hat{\bar{\Phi}}'_i(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto \bar{\Phi}_i(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}), \\
\hat{V}'_i(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto V_i(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) \\
\hat{W}'_{i\alpha}(\theta,\bar{\theta}) &\mapsto W_{i\alpha}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can also map the action in Eq.(2.10) to non-commutative field theory as in Eq.(A.14). As a result, this reduced model is equivalent to a non-commutative action which can be obtained by replacing the product in Eq.(2.3) with star products in Eq.(A.1).

Note that the ratios of the infinite ranks of the gauge groups are fixed in order to reproduce Eq.(2.3). It is obvious, if we define the infinite rank of $\hat{p}_\mu$ as $\hat{M}$ in Eq.(2.8), we can obtain the relationships

$$\frac{\hat{N}_i}{\hat{N}_j} = \frac{\hat{M}\times N_i}{\hat{M}\times N_j} = \frac{N_i}{N_j}. \qquad (2.12)$$

2.2 Construction of the supermatrix model

We consider Eq.(2.5) in the fermionic non-commutative space [9] (Eq.(A.4)) by replacing the product with the star products in Eq.(A.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S =&(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\ \Bigg\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}(\hat{\bar{\Phi}}_i e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{\Phi}_i e^{-\hat{V}_i}) \\ &+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\hat{\bar{X}}_{i,j}e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{X}_{i,j}e^{-\hat{V}_j})+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{j,i}e^{\hat{V}_j}\hat{Y}_{j,i}e^{-\hat{V}_i})\Bigg\}_{\star} \\ &+(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\int d^2\theta\ \Bigg\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}2\pi i\tau_i\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\hat{W}_i^{\alpha}\hat{W}_{i\alpha} \\ &+\sum_{(i,a)}g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}O_{(i,a)}\left(\hat{\Phi}(\theta),\hat{X}(\theta),\hat{Y}(\theta)\right)\Bigg\}_{\star}+c.c.. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We can then map this action to the supermatrix model as shown in Appendix A.2 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S =&\frac{i^2(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8^2\sqrt{\det\gamma}\sqrt{\det\gamma^*}}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}(\hat{\bar{\Phi}}_i e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{\Phi}_i e^{-\hat{V}_i}) \\ &+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\hat{\bar{X}}_{i,j}e^{\hat{V}_i}\hat{X}_{i,j}e^{-\hat{V}_j})+\sum_{i>j}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_j)}(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{j,i}e^{\hat{V}_j}\hat{Y}_{j,i}e^{-\hat{V}_i})\Big\} \\ &+\frac{i(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8\sqrt{\det\gamma}}\Big\{2\pi i\tau_i\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\left(\hat{W}_i^{\alpha}\hat{W}_{i\alpha}\right) \\ &+\sum_{(i,a)}g_{(i,a)}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}O_{(i,a)}\left(\hat{\Phi},\hat{X},\hat{Y}\right)\Big\}+c.c.. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

The matrices here can be obtained by Weyl ordering (Eq.(A.12)). In particular, the field strength is

$$\hat{W}_{i\alpha}=-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{ad}\,\hat{\bar{\pi}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\mathrm{ad}\,\hat{\bar{\pi}}^{\dot{\alpha}}e^{-\hat{V}_i}\mathrm{ad}\,\hat{\pi}_{\alpha}e^{\hat{V}_i}. \tag{2.15}$$

In precise terms, $\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}$ means $\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i|\hat{N}_i)}$ in (anti-) chiral terms or $\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i|\hat{N}_i)\otimes U(1|1)}$ in matter kinetic terms (the definition of the Str is given in Eq.(A.18).).

Let us now consider the relationships to non-commutative field theory. The action in Eq.(2.5) does not depend on $\hat{p}_\mu$, and this dependence appears when we consider the fluctuation around the classical solution of the gauge fields (Eq.(2.8)). We can then map the action to non-commutative field theory. However, it is not obvious that the action in Eq.(2.14) has an appropriate classical solution for $\hat{V}_i$, as in the case for Eq.(2.8) [10]. Even if we find such a solution, it is also not obvious that the fluctuation around the solution can be regarded as a non-commutative field. However, this problem is not serious when we take the limit $\gamma, \gamma^* \to 0$.

We next consider the commutative limit of Eq.(2.13) and (2.14). In order to obtain the commutative field theory (Eq.(2.3)) from Eq.(2.13), we first take the limit of $\gamma, \gamma^* \to 0$. We may then obtain Eq.(2.5) and this action can be mapped to the action in Eq.(2.3) in bosonic non-commutative coordinates by considering the fluctuation around Eq.(2.8). Lastly, we take the limit of $C \to 0$ and obtain the commutative field theory (Eq.(2.3)).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Supermatrix model (2.14)} &= \text{(2.13)} \\ &\xrightarrow{\gamma,\gamma^*\to 0} \text{(2.5)} \\ &= \text{(2.3) in bosonic non-commutative coordinates} \\ &\xrightarrow{C\to 0} \text{(2.3)}\end{aligned}$$

We take the two commutative limits in these processes. The first limit ($\gamma \to 0$) can be taken smoothly owing to the non-commutativity C. On the other hand, under the second limit ($C \to 0$), non-commutative field theories may not correspond to the commutative field theories (UV/IR mixing). In this sense, it is not clear that the commutative limits of the supermatrix model correspond to the commutative field theory.

However, the holomorphic parts of the supermatrix model almost correspond to the commutative field theory. Under the second limit, the chiral ring property eliminates the non-planar diagrams which give the non-commutative phase to the amplitude. The difference only appears through the Konishi anomaly [11]. This anomaly in commutative field theory appears through the regularization procedure. On the other hand, in non-commutative field theory, such regularization is not necessary, since the non-commutativity C regularizes such singularity (see Sec. 2.3).

In the case of Eq.(2.14), the holomorphic parts correspond to the commutative field theory. As a result of this holomorphy, the matter kinetic terms and anti-holomorphic parts can be neglected when we consider the holomorphic quantities. Dropping the matter kinetic terms implies that the matter is decoupled from the gauge fields and that we can also neglect the gauge kinetic terms. We can thus obtain the following supermatrix model:

$$S_{smm} = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} \sum_{(i,a)} g_{(i,a)} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} O_{(i,a)} \left(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y} \right) \tag{2.16}$$

where $\hat{N}/g_m$ is given in Eq.(A.19) as follows:

$$\frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} = \frac{i(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8\sqrt{\det \gamma}}.$$

The dependence on non-commutativity C, γ in this model only appears through the overall factor, $\hat{N}/g_m$. As a result of this structure, the loop equations of this model have no dependence on C, γ (we show this example in section 3.2). This means that, by taking $C, \gamma \to 0$, this supermatrix model has a commutative limit. Some of the physical quantities which can be calculated by this supermatrix model correspond to the quantities by the field theory as shown in subsequent subsections. This action does not depend on the gauge fields. However, when we map the correlation function of the supermatrix model to non-commutative field theory under $C, \gamma \to 0$, singularity appears in the field theory. Upon regularizing such singularity, the dependence on the gauge fields appears in the field theory.

The result enables us to conclude that the supermatrix model (Eq.(2.16)) corresponds to the holomorphic part of the commutative field theory (2.3) in the limit of $C, \gamma \to 0$.

This implies that the commutative limit of Eq.(2.13) corresponds to the commutative field theory.

2.3 Correspondence of the correlation functions

We will show that

$$\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} W_i^{\alpha} W_{i\alpha} P(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle = \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} P(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \right\rangle, \tag{2.17}$$

in the limit, $C, \gamma \to 0$, where $P(\Phi, X, Y)$ is a polynomial function and has $U(N_i)$ gauge indices. The left-hand side of this equation is a gauge theory correlation function, and the right-hand side is a supermatrix model function. This provides evidence that our supermatrix model includes the information of the field theory.

Proof We use the identity

$$\left(\delta^4(\hat{x} - x) \delta^2(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \right)^2 = \frac{g_m^2}{\hat{N}^2} = -\frac{64 \det \gamma}{(2\pi)^4 \det C}. \tag{2.18}$$

This identity holds in non-commutative space [4]. Inserting this on the right-hand side of Eq.(2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\left(P\left(\hat{\Phi},\hat{X},\hat{Y}\right)\right)\right\rangle\\&=\frac{i(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8\sqrt{\det\gamma}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\left(\left(\delta^4(\hat{x}-x)\delta^2(\hat{\theta}-\theta)\right)^2 P\left(\hat{\Phi},\hat{X},\hat{Y}\right)\right)\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

We can map this supermatrix correlator to the fermionic non-commutative field theory as follows:

$$(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\left\langle\int d^2\theta'\ \mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\left(\left(\delta^4(\hat{x}-x)\delta^2(\theta'-\theta)\right)^2 P\left(\hat{\Phi}(\theta),\hat{X}(\theta),\hat{Y}(\theta)\right)\right)\right\rangle_\star,$$

where $\star$ indicates that θ is non-commutative. By taking $\gamma\to 0$, we obtain the matrix correlator,

$$(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\left\langle\int d^2\theta'\ \mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N}_i)}\left(\left(\delta^4(\hat{x}-x)\delta^2(\theta'-\theta)\right)^2 P\left(\hat{\Phi}(\theta),\hat{X}(\theta),\hat{Y}(\theta)\right)\right)\right\rangle.$$

This correlator can be mapped to one for bosonic non-commutative field theory by considering the fluctuation around the classical solution in Eq.(2.8) as follows:

$$\left\langle\int d^4x'd^2\theta'\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}\left(\left(\delta^4(x'-x)\delta^2(\theta'-\theta)\right)^2 P\left(\Phi(x',\theta'),X(x',\theta'),Y(x',\theta')\right)\right)\right\rangle_*,$$

and by taking $C\to 0$, we obtain

$$\left\langle\int d^4x'd^2\theta'\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}\left(\left(\delta^4(x'-x)\delta^2(\theta'-\theta)\right)^2 P\left(\Phi(x',\theta'),X(x',\theta'),Y(x',\theta')\right)\right)\right\rangle. \qquad (2.19)$$

When we integrate x' and θ', singular factor, $\delta^4(0)\delta^2(0)$, appears and we can regularize this by the heat kernel method shown in the appendix to ref.[4] as follows:

$$\delta^4(x'-x)\delta^2(\theta'-\theta)\delta^k{}_j\big|_{(x',\theta')\mapsto(x,\theta)}=\frac{1}{64\pi^2}(W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha})^k{}_j \qquad (2.20)$$

where j,k are indices for the representation of the $U(N_i)$ gauge group. The right-hand side of this equation is the contribution from the Konishi anomaly [11] of the $U(N_i)$ gauge field.

Eq.(2.19) therefore becomes

$$\left\langle\frac{1}{64\pi^2}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha}P(\Phi,X,Y)\right\rangle. \qquad (2.21)$$

This is the left-hand side of Eq.(2.17).

On the other hand, the correlators

$$\left\langle \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} P(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \right\rangle$$

are independent of $g_m/\hat{N}$ (or C and γ) without an overall factor. This can be explicitly checked when we solve the loop equation of these correlators [4].

This foregoing analysis indicates that, when we take $C, \gamma \to 0$, we can obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha} P(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} P(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \right\rangle . \tag{2.22}$$

2.4 Low-energy effective action and prepotential

In this subsection, we consider the property of the effective action of Eq.(2.3) and show that it can be described by a prepotential.

The coupling $g_{(i,a)}$ dependence of W_{eff} is obtained by differentiating the partition function with respect to $g_{(i,a)}$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{(i,a)}} W_{eff} = \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle ,$$

We can express the right-hand side as

$$\int d^2\psi \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} (W_i^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha 1_{N_i})(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha 1_{N_i}) O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle , \tag{2.23}$$

where ψ is an anti-commuting c-number.

Let us now consider the special case that the loop equation for

$$\left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha} O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle \tag{2.24}$$

is closed under single-trace correlators which include just two field strengths:

$$\left\langle \mathrm{tr} \left(W_j^\alpha W_{j\alpha} \cdots \right) \right\rangle . \tag{2.25}$$

We can then obtain a new loop equation for

$$\left\langle \mathrm{tr}(W_i^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y) \right\rangle \tag{2.26}$$

through the shift

$$W_{i\alpha} \mapsto W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha 1_{N_i}, \tag{2.27}$$

for all i. Under this shift, the correlators do not change, since the action in Eq.(2.3) has symmetry under this shift. [4] This loop equation is closed with respect to the operators

$$\left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}(W_i^{\alpha} - 8\pi\psi^{\alpha})(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_{\alpha}) \cdots \right\rangle ,$$

which are obtained by shifting Eq.(2.25). These operators are related to each other through the loop equations, and we can pick up independent operators from among them. (In the case of the $U(N)$ gauge theory coupled to a single adjoint matter, such independent operators are glueball superfields $\mathcal{S}_i$ (not S_i) in ref.[2].) W_{eff} can then be expressed by prepotential $\mathcal{F}$, which is a function of these independent operators, as

$$W_{eff} = \int d^2\psi \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.30}$$

Comparing this and Eq.(2.23), we can obtain the following equation for $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{(i,a)}}\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}(W_i^{\alpha} - 8\pi\psi^{\alpha})(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_{\alpha})O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y)\right\rangle . \tag{2.31}$$

In order to compute the prepotential, we solve the loop equations for the correlator on the right-hand side with respect to the independent operators. However, the purpose of this study is to show that the correlator in Eq.(2.31) is equivalent to a certain correlator in the supermatrix model by direct mapping, instead of by solving the loop equations.

2.5 Free energy of the supermatrix model and prepotential

We evaluate in this section the free energy of the supermatrix model and consider its relationship to the prepotential.

---

[4] If we rewrite the kinetic terms of the action (2.3) as

$$\int d^8z \ \mathrm{tr}_{U(N)}\bar{\Omega}e^{\Xi}\Omega e^{-\Xi},$$

where $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ and

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 & Y_{1,2} & \cdots & \\ X_{2,1} & \Phi_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & & & \Phi_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0 & \cdots & \\ 0 & V_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & & & V_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.29}$$

then the symmetry (2.27) is regarded as that of $U(N)$ gauge and one adjoint matter case. Thus this symmetry is obvious.

The free energy of the supermatrix model is defined by

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\hat{N}^2}{g_m^2}F_m\right) = \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{X} d\hat{Y}\ e^{-S_{smm}}. \tag{2.32}$$

In order to find the dependence on $g_{(i,a)}$, we differentiate this equation with respect to $g_{(i,a)}$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{(i,a)}}F_m = \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} O_{(i,a)}(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y})\right\rangle. \tag{2.33}$$

If the correlation function on the right-hand side is equivalent to Eq.(2.31), the free energy and prepotential are equivalent up to the $g_{(i,a)}$-independent part.

We can now show

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}(W_i^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y)\right\rangle = \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{N}_i)} O_{(i,a)}(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}, \hat{Y})\right\rangle \tag{2.34}$$

under the commutative limit. As in subsection 2.3, the right-hand side is equivalent to

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)} W_i^\alpha W_{i\alpha} O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y)\right\rangle.$$

Due to the symmetry in Eq.(2.27), this becomes

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\mathrm{tr}_{U(N_i)}(W_i^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{i\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) O_{(i,a)}(\Phi, X, Y)\right\rangle.$$

This is the left-hand side of Eq.(2.34). This equivalence means that the free energy of the supermatrix model is equivalent to the prepotential up to the $g_{(i,a)}$ -independent part.

The equivalence of the coupling constant of the independent parts can be checked in a discrete calculation with a simple form of the superpotential;- for example, gaussian or cubic. The result can be generalized to any superpotential, since such terms do not depend on the form of the superpotential. However, with our approach, we have not yet provided a good explanation for why the reduced model reproduces the $g_{(i,a)}$-independent part of the prepotential as well.

Note that the symmetry in Eq.(2.27) means that the gauge field correlation functions

$$\frac{1}{64\pi^2}\left\langle \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha \cdots\right\rangle, \quad \frac{1}{8\pi}\left\langle \mathrm{tr} W_\alpha \cdots\right\rangle, \quad \left\langle \mathrm{tr}\cdots\right\rangle$$

behave as one chiral multiplet,

$$\frac{1}{64\pi^2}\left\langle \mathrm{tr}(W^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_\alpha - 8\pi\psi_\alpha)\cdots\right\rangle .$$

The equivalence in Eq.(2.34) means that this multiplet corresponds to the supermatrix correlation function

$$\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}\cdots\right\rangle .$$

As a result, all the chiral operators of the gauge field corresponds to the supermatrix correlators through this multiplet.

Our supermatrix model can therefore describe the holomorphic part of the gauge theory. In this respect, the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory with multiple bifundamental matter can be regarded as large-N reduction (the difference between the supermatrix and bosonic matrix is considered in ref.[4]).

3 Supersymmetric reduced model with fundamental matters

We consider in this section the A_2 quiver-like theory, from which we can develop a theory which incorporates fundamental matter.

3.1 Construction of the theory with fundamental matters

We will evaluate a theory which has gauge groups (Eq.(1.1)) and A_2 quiver-like kinetic terms. The action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S = &\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\ \Big(\mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(\bar{\Phi}e^V\Phi e^{-V}) + \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)}(\bar{Q}e^V Q e^{-V_f}) + \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)}(\bar{\tilde{Q}}e^{V_f}\tilde{Q}e^{-V})\Big) \\ &+ \int d^4x d^2\theta\, \big(\, 2\pi i\tau\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W^\alpha W_\alpha) + 2\pi i\tau_f\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)}(W_f^\alpha W_{f\alpha})\big) \\ &+ \int d^4x d^2\theta\ \Big(\mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W(\Phi)) + \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)}(\tilde{Q}m(\Phi)Q)\Big) + c.c.. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

V is a vector superfield of gauge group $U(n_c)$, W_α is its field strength, V_f and $W_{f\alpha}$ are the vector superfield and field strength of the $U(n_f)$, Q and $\tilde{Q}$ are (anti)bifundamental matter and Φ is adjoint matter of $U(n_c)$, and $W(\Phi)$ and $m(\Phi)$ are polynomial functions. The adjoint matter of $U(n_f)$ in this theory is removed from the usual A_2 theory.[5]

---

[5] Or we give an adjoint matter Φ_{n_f} for $U(n_f)$ an infinite mass :$\frac{m}{2}\mathrm{tr}\Phi_{n_f}^2$. Then Φ_{n_f} is decoupled.

When we turn off gauge field V_f and regard the $U(n_f)$ symmetry as a global, bifundamental matter Q behaves as a fundamental matter with $U(n_f)$ flavor symmetry. Since we can construct a supermatrix model in the general gauge theory with bifundamental matter as described in section 2, we first keep this $U(n_f)$ symmetry as gauge symmetry and ultimately take $V_f = 0$.

The holomorphic parts of the supermatrix model for the action Eq.(3.1) become

$$S_{smm} = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m}\left(\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(W(\hat{\Phi})\right) + \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}\left(\hat{\tilde{Q}}m(\hat{\Phi})\hat{Q}\right)\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\hat{Q}$, $\hat{\tilde{Q}}$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ are matrices corresponding to Q, $\tilde{Q}$ and Φ. This supermatrix model has no gauge field dependence. When we take $V_f = 0$, the supermatrix action does not change.

Note that this supermatrix model is in the Veneziano limit [12] in which the number of colors and flavors become infinite with their ratio fixed as in Eq.(2.12). Even if we take V_f to be 0, there is no influence on the size of the matrices.

3.2 Derivation of the loop equation from the supermatrix model

We derive in this subsection the loop equations from the supermatrix model, and show that these equations are closed and that the dependence on C, γ disappears. Furthermore, these loop equations can be mapped to those of the field theory, which is equivalent to the field theory analyses [6], when we take $V_f = 0$. This result justifies our supermatrix approach in section 2.

We start from

$$\left\langle \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(T^a\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right)\right\rangle,$$

where T^a is the Gell-Mann matrix. We shift $\hat{\Phi} \mapsto \hat{\Phi} + \epsilon T^a$ and obtain the Schwinger-Dyson equation,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(T^a\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}T^a\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(T^a\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right)\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(T^aW'(\hat{\Phi})\right)\right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\left(T^a\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right)\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}\left(\hat{\tilde{Q}}T^am'(\hat{\Phi})\hat{Q}\right)\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By using the completeness of the Gell-Mann matrix and large-N factorization, we can obtain the loop equation,

$$\left(\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right\rangle\right)^2 - \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)}\frac{W'(\hat{\Phi})}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\right\rangle - \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}\hat{\tilde{Q}}\frac{m'(\hat{\Phi})}{z-\hat{\Phi}}\hat{Q}\right\rangle = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

We next start from

$$\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} \hat{\tilde{Q}} \frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}} T^a \right\rangle . \tag{3.5}$$

By shifting $\hat{\tilde{Q}} \mapsto \hat{\tilde{Q}} + \epsilon T^a$, we can derive another loop equation.

$$\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} 1 \right\rangle \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)} \frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} \hat{\tilde{Q}} \frac{m(\hat{\Phi})}{z-\hat{\Phi}} \hat{Q} \right\rangle . \tag{3.6}$$

As already mentioned, the dependence on C, γ in these loop equations appears only through $g_m/\hat{N}$. These two loop equations are closed and can be solved as a function of

$$S_i = \int_{C_i} dz \, \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str} \frac{1}{z-\hat{\Phi}} \right\rangle ,$$

where C_i is a contour around the i-th critical point of superpotential $W(\hat{\Phi})$. The $g_m/\hat{N}$ dependence disappears if we solve the correlators with respect to S_i. These loop equations are thus independent of C, γ and have a commutative limit.

We will next show that this commutative limit corresponds to the loop equations which are derived from gauge theory. In order to map these loop equations to the gauge theory equations, we repeat the same procedure as that for deriving Eq.(2.34). This enables us to obtain the loop equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{(W^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_\alpha - 8\pi\psi_\alpha)}{z - \Phi^{(y)}(x,\theta)} \right\rangle \right)^2 \\
&- \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{(W^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_\alpha - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) W'(\Phi^{(y)}(x,\theta))}{z - \Phi^{(y)}(x,\theta)} \right\rangle \\
&- \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)} (W_f^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{f\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) \tilde{Q}^{(y)} \frac{m'(\Phi^{(y)})}{z - \Phi^{(y)}} Q^{(y)}(x,\theta) \right\rangle = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)} \left((W_f^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{f\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) \right) \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \left(\frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z - \Phi} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)} \left((W_f^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{f\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) \tilde{Q} \frac{m(\Phi)}{z - \Phi} Q \right) \right\rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

These equations are closed with respect to the following correlators:

$$\begin{gathered}
\left\langle \mathrm{tr} \left((W^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_\alpha - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) \cdots \right) \right\rangle , \\
\left\langle \mathrm{tr} \left((W_f^\alpha - 8\pi\psi^\alpha)(W_{f\alpha} - 8\pi\psi_\alpha) \cdots \right) \right\rangle .
\end{gathered}$$

This fact leads to an efficient description of the prepotential as mentioned in section 2.5.

Expanding these equations with respect to ψ_α and turning off the formal gauge field strength, $W_{f\alpha}$, we can obtain the following loop equations:

$$\left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W'(\Phi)}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)} \tilde{Q} \frac{m'(\Phi)}{z-\Phi} Q \right\rangle =$$
$$2\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{1}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W^\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W'(\Phi) W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle = 2\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right\rangle \right)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

$$n_f \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)} \left(\frac{W^\alpha W_\alpha}{z-\Phi} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)} \left(\tilde{Q} \frac{m(\Phi)}{z-\Phi} Q \right) \right\rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

These equations correspond to that derived from the field theory in ref.[6].

3.3 Properties of the prepotential

In ref.[6], owing to the chiral ring properties, the fundamental matter contributes to the effective action only up to the one-loop order. We will show that the supermatrix model reproduces this property.

We first integrate out $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{\tilde{Q}}$ in the partition function,

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\hat{N}^2}{g_m^2} F_m \right) = \int d\hat{\Phi} \left(\det \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} m(\hat{\Phi}) \right)^{-\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}(1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)} W(\hat{\Phi}) \right)$$
$$= \int d\hat{\Phi} e^{-S_{eff}},$$
$$S_{eff} = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} \left(\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)} W(\hat{\Phi}) + \frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left(\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} 1 \right) \log \left(\det \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} m(\hat{\Phi}) \right) \right). \quad (3.13)$$

We define here S_{eff} as an effective action of $\hat{\Phi}$. $\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}1$ is a one-loop correction of the fundamental matter. We can expand F_m with respect to $\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} 1 \right\rangle$ as

$$F_m = F_{m0} + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}} \left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)} 1 \right\rangle \right)^k F_{mk}. \quad (3.14)$$

Note that the F_{mk} is the k-loop correction for the fundamental matter. We can now map this expansion to the gauge theory, and then map the free energy to the prepotential. In addition,

$$\frac{g_m}{\hat{N}}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}1\right\rangle = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m}\left\langle \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_f)}\left(\delta^4(\hat{x}-x)\delta^2(\hat{\theta}-\theta)\right)^2\right\rangle$$
$$\mapsto \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\left\langle \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_f)}(W_f^{\alpha}-8\pi\psi^{\alpha})(W_{f\alpha}-8\pi\psi_{\alpha})\right\rangle, \qquad (3.15)$$

where we take the limit, $C, \gamma \to 0$, and use the regularization of Eq.(2.20) for the $U(n_f)$ gauge field. By using these relationships, when we take $W_{f\alpha}=0$, Eq.(3.14) is mapped to

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 + n_f(\psi^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha})\mathcal{F}_1. \qquad (3.16)$$

We will map F_{mk} to $\mathcal{F}_k$. The anti-commutativity of ψ_α removes $\mathcal{F}_k$ $(k>1)$. The first term is the contribution of the adjoint matter, and the second is from the adjoint matter and one-loop correction of the fundamental matter. The prepotential obtained from the free energy of the supermatrix model thus also has the contribution of the fundamental matters only up to the one-loop order. This statement is consistent with the gauge theory analysis.

With the argument of the previous subsection, our supermatrix model gives the same result in the holomorphic parts of the field theory.

We apply the theory with single fundamental matter in this section. We can generally construct a corresponding supermatrix model in the gauge theory with several unitary gauge groups and fundamental and bifundamental matter as described in sections 2 and 3.

4 Discussion

We have shown that the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory in the $\mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory with fundamental and bifundamental matter can be regarded as large-N reduction. This enables us to understand the mechanism by which the matrix model incorporates the information of the gauge theory.

We have also shown the mapping from the gauge theories to corresponding supersymmetric reduced models. However, there are some problems in the analyses of low-energy gauge theory. For example, if gauge theory is coupled to massless fundamental matter, the low-energy theory should be described by meson and baryon fields [13]. We need to introduce these fields through the Legendre transformation, in which case, the mapping itself cannot prescribe them.

Our approach cannot yet be applied to other gauge groups:- $SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$. It is difficult to construct the reduced model for such gauge theories, in which the proof of equivalence between the gauge theories and matrix model is given [5]. Success with the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory might shed some light on constructing a reduced model in these gauge theories.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Azuma, N. Ishibashi, S. Kawamoto, T.Kobayashi and T. Yokono for useful discussions. We are grateful to D. Klemm for informing us of their paper [9] in which they also point out the non-commutative superspace. We are grateful to R. Argurio for informing us of their paper [7] in which they also point out the application of Dijkgraaf-Vafa techniques to theories with fundamental flavors. We are grateful to N. Sadooghi for informing us of their paper [?] in which they consider various anomalies in non-commutative space. The work of T.K. was supported in part by a JSPS research fellowships for young scientists.

A A Construction of the reduced model

We summarize in this appendix the method for constructing the supermatrix model from the gauge theory.

A.1 From the commutative theory to non-commutative theory

Non-commutative space

We define the $*$- and $\star$-products as

$$f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu}\right) f(x)g(y)\bigg|_{y=x}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$f(\theta) \star g(\theta) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'^\beta}\right) f(\theta)g(\theta')\bigg|_{\theta=\theta'}, \tag{A.2}$$

where $C^{\mu\nu}$ is an anti-symmetric tensor and $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ is a symmetric tensor. The non-commutative coordinates then satisfy

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu]_* = -iC^{\mu\nu}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\}_\star = \gamma^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{A.4}$$

Correspondence between functions in non-commutative space and matrices

The matrices corresponding to bosonic non-commutative coordinates (Eq.(A.3)) are

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = -iC^{\mu\nu}. \tag{A.5}$$

In order to satisfy these relationships, the rank of these matrices, $\hat{N}$, should be infinite. We can introduce an anti-symmetric tensor which satisfies

$$C^{\mu\lambda}B_{\lambda\nu} = \delta^\mu{}_\nu \tag{A.6}$$

and define

$$\hat{p}_\mu = B_{\mu\nu}\hat{x}^\nu. \tag{A.7}$$

These matrices satisfy the following relations:

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = iB_{\mu\nu}, \quad [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\delta^\mu_\nu. \tag{A.8}$$

Matrices corresponding to the fermionic coordinates (Eq.(A.4)) are

$$\{\hat{\theta}^\alpha, \hat{\theta}^\beta\} = \gamma^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{A.9}$$

We can then introduce $\beta_{\alpha\beta}$ and π_α which satisfy

$$\{\hat{\pi}_\alpha, \hat{\pi}_\beta\} = \beta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{\hat{\theta}^\alpha, \hat{\pi}_\beta\} = \delta^\alpha_\beta. \tag{A.10}$$

The correspondence between the functions and the matrices from these relationships is

$$\begin{aligned}
O(x) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik_\mu x^\mu}\tilde{O}(k) & \mapsto \quad \hat{O} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}\tilde{O}(k), & \text{(A.11)}\\
Q(\theta) &= \int d^2\kappa \; e^{i\theta^\alpha \kappa_\alpha}\tilde{Q}(\kappa) & \mapsto \quad \hat{Q} &= \int d^2\kappa \; e^{i\hat{\theta}^\alpha \kappa_\alpha}\tilde{Q}(\kappa) & \\
&= A + \theta^\alpha\psi_\alpha - (\theta^1 \star \theta^2 - \theta^2 \star \theta^1)F & &= A + \hat{\theta}^\alpha\psi_\alpha - (\hat{\theta}^1\hat{\theta}^2 - \hat{\theta}^2\hat{\theta}^1)F & \text{(A.12)}
\end{aligned}$$

Correspondence of operations in bosonic coordinates

The integral in the bosonic non-commutative coordinates is equivalent to the trace of the matrix model,

$$\int d^4x \; O(x) = (2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N})}(\hat{O}). \tag{A.13}$$

If $\hat{p}_\mu$ is a reducible representation and can represent $\hat{p}_\mu = \hat{p}_\mu^{(0)} \otimes 1_n$, where $\hat{p}_\mu^{(0)}$ is a certain irreducible representation of Eq.(A.7), then the relationship becomes

$$\int d^4x \ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n)} O(x) = (2\pi)^2 \sqrt{\det C}\, \mathrm{Tr}_{U(\hat{N})}(\hat{O}). \tag{A.14}$$

On the other hand, the derivative corresponds as follows:

$$-i\partial_\mu O(x) \mapsto [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{O}]. \tag{A.15}$$

Correspondence of operations in fermionic coordinates

By using the $SL(2,C)$ transformation, $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ can be taken as

$$(\gamma^{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.16}$$

In this case, $\hat{\theta}^\alpha$ can be represented in terms of Pauli matrices as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}^1 = \sqrt{\gamma}\sigma^1, \quad \hat{\theta}^2 = \sqrt{\gamma}\sigma^2, \\ \hat{\theta}^1\hat{\theta}^2 - \hat{\theta}^2\hat{\theta}^1 = 2i\gamma\sigma^3. \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

An integral for the fermionic non-commutative coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} F = \int d^2\theta Q(\theta) \mapsto F &= \frac{i}{8\gamma} \mathrm{tr}\left(2\sigma^3 \hat{Q}\right) \\ &\equiv \frac{i}{8\sqrt{\det \gamma}} \mathrm{Str}\left(\hat{Q}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

where we define the supertrace, $\mathrm{Str}\hat{Q} = \mathrm{tr} 2\sigma^3 \hat{Q}$. Considering a quantum degree of the freedom of the non-commutative field theory, we can put

$$\frac{i(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8\sqrt{\det \gamma}} = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m}. \tag{A.19}$$

Here, g_m is an appropriate constant with mass dimension 3. As a result, we can obtain

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta O(x,\theta) = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} \mathrm{Str}_{x\otimes\theta} \hat{O}. \tag{A.20}$$

A derivative is mapped as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} O(x,\theta) \mapsto [\hat{\pi}_\alpha, \hat{O}\}. \tag{A.21}$$

A.2 Construction of the supersymmetric reduced model

We show the construction of the supersymmetric reduced model of an $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric $U(n_c)$ gauge theory coupled to adjoint matter Φ. We start from the action,

$$\begin{aligned}S=&\int d^4xd^2\theta d^2\bar\theta\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(\bar\Phi e^V\Phi e^{-V})\\&+\int d^4xd^2\theta\ 2\pi i\tau\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W^\alpha W_\alpha)+\int d^4xd^2\theta\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W(\Phi))+c.c..\end{aligned}\tag{A.22}$$

We can then rewrite the fields in an appropriate form for the reduced model as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x,\theta,\bar\theta)=&\Phi^{(y)}(y,\theta)\\=&e^A\Phi^{(y)}(x,\theta)e^{-A},\end{aligned}\tag{A.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\Phi(x,\theta,\bar\theta)=&\bar\Phi^{(y^\dagger)}(y^\dagger,\bar\theta)\\=&e^{-A}\bar\Phi^{(y^\dagger)}(x,\bar\theta)e^A,\end{aligned}\tag{A.24}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha=e^AD_\alpha e^{-A}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha},\tag{A.25}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha}=e^{-A}\bar D_{\dot\alpha}e^A=-\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar\theta^{\dot\alpha}},\tag{A.26}$$

$$e^{\mathcal{V}(x,\theta,\bar\theta)}=e^Ae^{V(x,\theta,\bar\theta)}e^A,\tag{A.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}W^{(y)}_\alpha(x,\theta)=&e^{-A}W_\alpha(x,\theta)e^A\\=&-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\mathcal{D}}e^{-\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{D}_\alpha e^{\mathcal{V}},\end{aligned}\tag{A.28}$$

where A is the differential operator, $i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar\theta\partial_\mu$, and subscript (y) (or $(y^\dagger)$) means that the chiral (or anti-chiral) superfield is a function of $(y=x+i\theta\sigma\theta,\theta)$ (or $(y^\dagger,\bar\theta)$).

We can then obtain the action,

$$\begin{aligned}S=&\int d^4xd^2\theta d^2\bar\theta\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(\bar\Phi^{(y^\dagger)}e^{\mathcal{V}}\Phi^{(y)}e^{-\mathcal{V}})\\&+\int d^4xd^2\theta\ 2\pi i\tau\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W^{(y)\alpha}W^{(y)}_\alpha)+\int d^4xd^2\theta\ \mathrm{tr}_{U(n_c)}(W(\Phi^{(y)}))+c.c..\end{aligned}\tag{A.29}$$

We next consider this action on non-commutative space in Eqs.(A.3) and (A.4), and apply the mapping of Sec.A.1. The action then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}S_{rm}=&\frac{i^2(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8^2\sqrt{\det\gamma}\sqrt{\det\gamma^*}}\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat n_c)}\left(\hat{\bar\Phi}e^{\hat{\mathcal{V}}}\hat\Phi e^{-\hat{\mathcal{V}}}\right)\\&+\frac{i(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\det C}}{8\sqrt{\det\gamma}}\{2\pi i\tau\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat n_c)}(\hat W^\alpha\hat W_\alpha)+\mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat n_c)}(W(\hat\Phi))\}+c.c.,\end{aligned}\tag{A.30}$$

where $U(\hat{n}_c)$ indicates that $\hat{p}_\mu$ is the reducible representation, $\hat{p}_\mu = \hat{p}_\mu^{(0)} \otimes 1_{n_c}$. This is the supersymmetric reduced model.

As a result of the holomorphy, we consider only the relevant parts in the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory,

$$S_{smm} = \frac{\hat{N}}{g_m} \mathrm{Str}_{U(\hat{n}_c)} W(\hat{\Phi}). \tag{A.31}$$

This is an action of the supermatrix model corresponding to Eq.(A.22).

References

[1] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "A perturbative window into non-perturbative physics," hep-th/0208048.

R. Dijkgraaf, M.T. Grisaru, C.S. Lam, C. Vafa and D. Zanon, "Perturbative computation of glueball superpotentials," hep-th/0211017.

[2] F. Cachazo, M.R. Douglas, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Chiral rings and anomalies in supersymmetric gauge theory," JHEP **0212** (2002) 071, hep-th/0211170.

[3] T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, "Reduction of dynamical degrees of freedom in the large N gauge theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 1063;

A. Gonzales-Arroyo and M. Okawa, "Twisted-Eguchi-Kawai model: a reduced model for large-N lattice gauge theory," Phys. Rev. **D27** (1983) 2397.

G. Parisi, "A simple expression for planar field theories," Phys. Lett. **B112** (1982) 463;

D.J. Gross and Y. Kitazawa, "A quenched momentum prescription for large N theories," Nucl. Phys. **B206** (1982) 440;

G. Bhanot, U.M. Heller and H. Neuberger, "The quenched Eguchi-Kawai model," Phys. Lett. **B113** (1982) 47;

S.R. Das and S.R. Wadia, "Translation invariance and a reduced model for summing planar diagrams in QCD," Phys. Lett. **B117** (1982) 228.

[4] H. Kawai, T. Kuroki and T. Morita, "Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory as large-N reduction," hep-th/0303210.

[5] Y. Ookouchi and Y. Watabiki, "Effective superpotentials for SO/Sp with flavor from matrix models," arXiv:hep-th/0301226.

E. Witten, "Chiral ring of Sp(N) and SO(N) supersymmetric gauge theory in four dimensions," hep-th/0302194.

M. Aganagic, K. Intriligator, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, "The glueball superpotential," arXiv:hep-th/0304271.

R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, deconstruction, and bosonic gauge theories," hep-th/0302011.

R. Casero and E. Trincherini, "Quivers via anomaly chains," arXiv:hep-th/0304123.

S. Seki, "Comments on quiver gauge theories and matrix models," arXiv:hep-th/0212079.

[6] N. Seiberg, "Adding fundamental matter to "Chiral rings and anomalies in supersymmetric gauge theory"," JHEP **0301** (2003) 061, hep-th/0212225.

[7] R. Argurio, V. L. Campos, G. Ferretti and R. Heise, "Exact superpotentials for theories with flavors via a matrix integral," Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 065005.
J. McGreevy, "Adding flavor to Dijkgraaf-Vafa," JHEP **0301**, 047 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0211009.
C. Hofman, "Super Yang-Mills with flavors from large N(f) matrix models," arXiv:hep-th/0212095.

[8] H. Aoki, N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and T. Tada, "Noncommutative Yang-Mills in IIB matrix model," Nucl. Phys. **B565** (2000) 176, hep-th/9908141;
N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai and Y. Kitazawa, "Wilson loops in noncommutative Yang-Mills," Nucl. Phys. **B573** (2000) 573, hep-th/9910004.

[9] D. Klemm, S. Penati and L. Tamassia, "Non(anti)commutative Superspace," Class.Quant.Grav.20:2905-2916,2003
J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen "Non-commutative superspace from string theory," arXive:hep-th/0302078
H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "The C-Deformation of gluino and non-planar diagrams," arXive:hep-th/0302109
N. Seiberg "Noncommutative superspace, $\mathcal{N} = 1/2$ supersymmetry, field theory and string theory," JHEP 0306 (2003) 010

[10] Y. Shibusa and T. Tada "Note on a fermionic solution of the matrix model and noncommutative superspace," arXive:hep-th/0307236
S. Iso and H. Umetsu "Gauge theory on noncommutative supersphere from supermatrix model," arXive:hep-th/0311005

[11] K. Konishi, "Anomalous supersymmetry transformation of some composite operators in Sqcd," Phys. Lett. **B135** (1984) 439;
K. Konishi and K. Shizuya, "Functional integral approach to chiral anomalies in supersymmetric gauge theories," Nuovo Cim. **A90** (1985) 111.
For various anomalies in non-commutativity space, see also
F, Ardalan and N, Sadooghi, "Planar and nonplanar Konishi anomalies and exact

Wilsonian effective superpotential for noncommutative N=1 supersymmetric U(1),” arXive:hep-th/0307155

[12] Veneziano, G., “Some aspects of a unified approach to gauge, dual and Gribov theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **117**, 519 (1976).

[13] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, “Dynamical supersymmetry breaking in supersymmetric QCD,” Nucl. Phys. B **241**, 493 (1984).
B. Feng, “Note on matrix model with massless flavors,” arXiv:hep-th/0212274.